

(1998) KLR VOL 3 PART 59 pp. 427-550

MARCH 1998

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Udih v. Idemudia p.423
2. Meridien Trade Corporation Ltd v. Metal Construction (W.A.) Ltd p.447
3. Echaka Cattle Ranch Ltd v. Nigerian Agricultural and Cooperative Bank Ltd p.471
4. Imade v. Otabor p.497

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. Mshellia v. Barde p.519
2. Jimah v. Alasan p.523
3. Olaghere v. The State p.541

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1998) 3 KLR**

ACTIONS - Proceeding with plaintiff's case - From the circumstances of this case - It is obvious appellant had no intention of prosecuting it's claim. Echaka Cattle Ranch Ltd v. N. A.C. Bank Ltd p.471

APPEALS - Retrial order - Is not appropriate where the plaintiff's case failed intoto - And no irregularity is apparent on the records or shown to the court - The order of dismissal was properly made. Udih v. Idemudia p.423

BANKING - Indebtedness - Appellant's unreasonable proposal on payment of overdue bank loan - Show lack of intention to settle the indebtedness. Echaka Cattle Ranch Ltd v. N. A.C. Bank Ltd p.471

COURTS - Discretion - Dismissal of plaintiff's case - On ground of bad faith and not inordinate delay to prosecute - The discretion was exercised judiciously. Echaka Cattle Ranch Ltd v. N. A.C. Bank Ltd p.471

EVIDENCE - Proof - Where a party failed to prove an essential requirement of his case on the balance of probabilities - His claim ought to have been dismissed. Udih v. Idemudia p.423

EVIDENCE - Burden of Proof - Based on the state of the pleadings - Is on the party who would fail - If no evidence were given on either side. Udih v. Idemudia p.423

EVIDENCE - Trespass - Burden of proof - In a claim for trespass to land - Is on the plaintiff to show that he has a better title than the defendant. Udih v. Idemudia p.423

LAND LAW - Survey plan attached to certificate of occupancy - Where found to be in respect of a land two miles away - The certificate confers no title. Imade v. Otabor p.497

LAND LAW - Customary law of Bini - Igiogbe under Bini custom - How

properly defined. Imade v. Otabor p.497

LAND LAW - Customary law of Bini - Igiogbe under Bini custom - How properly defined. Imade v. Otabor p.497

LAND LAW - Customary law of Bini - Oba's approval - Where not obtained by plaintiff's grandfather - Title of predecessor is not proved and the case ought to fail. Imade v. Otabor p.497

LAND LAW - Customary law of Bini - Igiogbe is inherited by the eldest surviving son - After performing his father's second burial. Imade v. Otabor p.497

LAND LAW - Title - Claim to a declaration of entitlement to a right of occupancy - Plaintiff must rely on the strength of his case - Title of his predecessor must be established. Imade v. Otabor p.497

PLEADINGS - Traverse - Is not effective - In a claim for liquidated demand in money - Where the allegation had not been denied expressly or by implication - It must be deemed to be indirectly admitted. Meridien Trade Corp. Ltd v. Metal Cons. (W.A.) Ltd p.447

PLEADINGS - Proof by evidence - Where the averments in the statement of claim were expressly admitted in the statement of defence - Such averments need no further proof. Trade Corp. Ltd v. Metal Cons. (W.A.) Ltd p.447

PLEADINGS - Traverse - Whether by denial or refusal to admit - Must not be evasive - But must answer the point of substance. Trade Corp. Ltd v. Metal Cons. (W.A.) Ltd p.447

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Striking out the cause - For plaintiff's non appearance under O.41 r. 2 - Is different from dismissing the suit for want of prosecution. Echaka Cattle Ranch Ltd v. N. A.C. Bank Ltd p.471

SUCCESSION - Customary law of Bini - Igiogbe cannot be given out in one's lifetime - And any such gift would be void - As succession to Igiogbe is by inheritance. *Imade v. Otabor* p.497

WORDS & PHRASES - Pleadings - "If any sum at all" - Is quite different from or any sum at all - And makes a world of difference to the meaning of the pleading. *Trade Corp. Ltd v. Metal Cons. (W.A.) Ltd* p.447

COURT OF APPEAL

CRIMINAL LAW - Burglary - Mere identification of the sacks found in appellant's possession - Is no proof that it was appellant who broke into the house. *Olaghere v. The State* p.541 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof beyond reasonable doubt - There is no confusion in the evidence - To suggest lack of proof. *Olaghere v. The State* p.541 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Stealing - Standard of proof required - Was complied with in respect of theft of a pig, count 3. *Olaghere v. The State* p.541 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Stealing - Of two bags of garri - Where appellant was not found in possession of the garri - But two bags containing pork - There was no evidence to support the stealing charge. *Olaghere v. The State* p.541 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defence of appellant - That the pigs he killed were his - Was properly considered by the trial court. *Olaghere v. The State* p.541 CA

DEFAMATION - Libel - Determining whether a publication is libellous
- The principle is that the article must be read as a whole. *Mshellia v. Barde*
p.519 CA

DEFAMATION - Libel - A sentence in a publication may be considered
defamatory - But other articles may take away its sting - The article in issue
in this case read as a whole is not defamatory. *Mshellia v. Barde* p.519 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Irregularities found proved - Where not
sufficient to void the election - The single irregularity of personation upon
deduction of the personated votes - Still gives appellant a slim majority of
one vote. *Jimah v. Alasan* p.523 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Register of electors - Right to vote - May only
be exercised by one whose name appears on the register. *Jimah v. Alasan*
p.523 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Personation found proved - Where of a nature
that did not make the election unfair - Non compliance by reason of the
personation is not proved. *Jimah v. Alasan* p.523 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Personation by some voters- Renders those
votes invalid and void - Court is to inquire on how the voters involved voted
- Without which it cannot hold that the votes were cast for the appellant.
Jimah v. Alasan p.523 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Voting and being voted for - One may qualify
to vote or be voted for in more than one ward - But such a person is not
entitled to register or vote in more than one ward. *Jimah v. Alasan* p.523
CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Ballot papers cast by voters - That ought to be
cancelled - But was shared equally by the Officer between the candidates

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1998) 3 KLR CA

- The wrong step did not have any effect on the election result. Jimah v. Alasan p.523 CA

EVIDENCE - Proof in an election petition - Of an allegation not involving crime - Is as in civil Cases on a balance of probabilities. Jimah v. Alasan p.523 CA

EVIDENCE - Burglary - Presumption of theft or receiving stolen property - Does not extend to a presumption of burglary. Olaghere v. The State p.541 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Evidence Act, Cap 112, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria Sections 135, 136, 137, 138 and 139 Udih v. Idemudia p.423

High Court of Lagos (Civil Procedure) Rules 1972 Order 18 rule 1. Trade Corp. Ltd v. Metal Cons. (W.A.) Ltd p.447

High Court Rules of Cross River State O.42 r.2 Echaka Cattle Ranch Ltd v. N. A.C. Bank Ltd p.471